

Analytic Subordination Consequences of Free Markovianity

DAN VOICULESCU¹

Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720–3840

1. Introduction

In [4], under some easy to remove genericity assumptions, we proved the analytic subordination of the Cauchy transforms of distributions $G_{\mu_{X+Y}}$ and G_{μ_X} for a pair of freely independent self-adjoint random variables X, Y . We used this to obtain inequalities among p -norms of densities of distributions, free entropies and Riesz energies. This was followed by P.Biane's discovery [1] that subordination extends, roughly speaking, to the resolvents, i.e. is an operator-valued occurrence. He also showed that this implies a noncommutative Markov-transitions property for free increment processes and that there are similar subordination results for multiplicative processes.

The analytic function approach in [4] and the combinatorial one in [1] did not shed much light on why analytic subordination appears in this context. In [6] we found a simple explanation of this phenomenon based on the coalgebra structure associated with the free difference quotient derivation. In the additive case this also led to a far reaching generalization of the analytic subordination result to the B -valued case, i.e. to free independence with amalgamation over an algebra B .

Here, based on simple operator-valued analytic function considerations, we build further on the result in [6]. We derive more general analytic subordination results for a freely Markovian triple A, B, C . This also includes the B -valued extension of the result for multiplication of free unitary variables.

¹Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant NSF–9500308.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Throughout, (M, τ) will denote a tracial W^* -probability space, i.e. a von Neumann algebra endowed with a normal faithful trace state. A triple of von Neumann subalgebras A, B, C contained in M and containing $\mathbb{C}1$ is *freely Markovian* (see [5] or [7]) if A and C are B -free in (M, E_B) where E_B is the canonical conditional expectation of M onto B (see [7] or [8]). If A, B are von Neumann subalgebras of M containing 1, we shall denote by $A \vee B$ or $W^*(A \cup B)$ the von Neumann subalgebra generated by $A \cup B$. If $X = X^* \in M$ and $1 \in B \subset M$ is a $*$ -subalgebra we shall denote by $B[X]$ the $*$ -subalgebra generated by B and X and we shall denote by $E_{B[X]}$ the conditional expectation onto the von Neumann subalgebra $W^*(B[X])$. For several selfadjoint elements $X, Y, Z, \dots$ (noncommuting) we shall also use notations $B[X, Y, Z]$, $E_{B[X, Y, Z]}$, etc.

If A is a unital C^* -algebra we denote by $\mathbb{H}_+(A) = \{T \in A \mid \operatorname{Im} T \geq \varepsilon 1 \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0\}$ the *upper half-plane* of A and by $\mathbb{H}_-(A) = -\mathbb{H}_+(A)$ the *lower half-plane*. Note that $T \in \mathbb{H}_+(A) \Leftrightarrow T^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}_-(A)$, in particular elements in $\mathbb{H}_\pm(A)$ are invertible. Also, A_{sa} will denote the selfadjoint elements in A .

The open unit ball will be denoted $\mathbb{D}(A) = \{T \in A \mid \|T\| < 1\}$. For balls of radius R we shall also use the notations $\mathbb{D}_R(A) = R\mathbb{D}(A)$.

3. Analytic Subordination

We begin by recalling the result ([6] Theorem 3.8) which serves as our starting point.

3.1 Theorem. *Let $1 \in B \subset M$ be a W^* -subalgebra and let $X = X^* \in M$, $Y = Y^* \in M$. Assume X, Y are B -free in (M, E_B) . Then there is a holomorphic map $F : \mathbb{H}_+(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_+(B)$ such that*

$$E_{B[X]}((X + Y) - b)^{-1} = (X - F(b))^{-1}$$

if $b \in \mathbb{H}_+(B)$.

3.2 Proposition. *Let $1 \in B \subset M$ and $1 \in A \subset M$ be W^* -subalgebras and let $X = X^* \in M$. Assume A and X are B -free in (M, E_B) . Then there is a holomorphic map $F : \mathbb{H}_+(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_+(B)$ such that*

$$E_{B[X]}(a - X)^{-1} = (F(a) - X)^{-1}$$

Proof. It is easily seen that

$$F_1(a) = (E_{B[X]}(a - X)^{-1})^{-1} + X$$

is a well-defined holomorphic map

$$\mathbb{H}_+(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_+(W^*(B[X])) .$$

We must show that $F_1(\mathbb{H}_+(A)) \subset B$. By Theorem 3.1,

$$F_1(i\varepsilon I + A_{sa}) \subset \mathbb{H}_+(B)$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$. Indeed, if $-Y \in A_{sa}$ then Proposition 3.2 gives

$$E_{B[X]}(i\varepsilon I - Y - X)^{-1} = (b - X)^{-1}$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{H}_+(B)$ which means $F_1(i\varepsilon I - Y) = b$.

Since $\varepsilon I + A_{sa}$ is a uniqueness set for holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{H}_+(A)$, the inclusion $F_1(i\varepsilon I + A_{sa}) \subset B$ implies $F_1(\mathbb{H}_+(A)) \subset B$ (use functionals $f \in M_*$, $f|B = 0$ to transform the given inclusion into $(f \circ F_1) | i\varepsilon I + A_{sa} = 0$). $\square$

3.3 Proposition. *Let A, B, C be a freely Markovian triple of W^* -subalgebras in (M, τ) . Then there is a holomorphic map*

$$F : \mathbb{H}_+(A) \times \mathbb{H}_+(C) \rightarrow B$$

such that

$$(E_{A \vee B}(a + c)^{-1})^{-1} = a + F(a, c)$$

if $a \in \mathbb{H}_+(A)$, $c \in \mathbb{H}_+(C)$.

Proof. Clearly, we have $a + c \in \mathbb{H}_+(M)$, $(a + c)^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}_-(M)$, $E_{A \vee B}(a + c)^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}_-(M)$,

$$(E_{A \vee B}(a + c)^{-1})^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}_+(M) .$$

Hence

$$F_1 : \mathbb{H}_+(A) \times \mathbb{H}_+(C) \rightarrow A \vee B \vee C$$

given by

$$F_1(a, c) = (E_{A \vee B}(a + c)^{-1})^{-1} - a$$

is well-defined and holomorphic. Thus, the proof reduces to showing that $F_1(\mathbb{H}_+(A) \times \mathbb{H}_+(C)) \subset B$. Since $(i\varepsilon I + A_{sa}) \times (i\varepsilon I + C_{sa})$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a set of uniqueness for holomorphic maps on $\mathbb{H}_+(A) \times \mathbb{H}_+(C)$, it suffices to show that

$$(E_{A \vee B}((X + Y) + 2\varepsilon i)^{-1})^{-1} - X \in B$$

when $X \in A_{sa}$, $Y \in C_{sa}$. Since $(X + Y + 2\varepsilon i)^{-1} \in W^*(B[X]) \vee C$ and A and C are B -free in (M, E_B) we have

$$E_{A \vee B}(X + Y + 2\varepsilon i)^{-1} = E_{B[X]}(X + Y + 2\varepsilon i)^{-1}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$E_{B[X]}(X + Y + 2\varepsilon i)^{-1} = (X + b)^{-1}$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{H}_+(B)$. In particular

$$(E_{A \vee B}(X + Y + 2\varepsilon i)^{-1})^{-1} - X \in B.$$

□

3.4 Lemma. *If $x \in A$, where A is a unital C^* -algebra, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\|x\| < 1$
- (ii) $1 - x$ is invertible and $2\operatorname{Re}(1 - x)^{-1} \geq (1 + \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. This fact, not new, is for instance an immediate consequence of unitary dilation [3] and of the corresponding fact when $A = \mathbb{C}$. For the reader's convenience, here is a direct proof.

Both (i) and (ii) imply $1 - x$ is invertible, in which case we have:

$$(1 - x)^{-1} + (1 - x^*)^{-1} = 1 + (1 - x)^{-1}(1 - xx^*)^{-1}(1 - x^*)^{-1}.$$

To prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) remark that $1 - xx^* \geq (1 - \|x\|^2)1$ and that

$$\|(1 - x^*)^{-1}\xi\| \geq \|1 - x^*\|^{-1}\|\xi\| \geq 2^{-1}\|\xi\|$$

when A acts on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. This gives $(1 - x)^{-1}(1 - xx^*)(1 - x^*)^{-1} \geq 4^{-1}(1 - \|x\|^2)1$.

To show (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) note that (ii) implies $(1 - x)^{-1}(1 - xx^*)(1 - x^*)^{-1} \geq \varepsilon 1$ so that $1 - xx^* \geq 0$ and $1 - xx^*$ is invertible, which gives (i). □

3.5 Proposition. *Let A, B, C be a freely Markovian triple of W^* -algebras in (M, τ) and let $\Omega = \{(a, c) \in A \times C \mid a \text{ invertible, } \|a^{-1}c\| < 1\}$. Then there is a holomorphic map $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow B$ such that if $(a, c) \in \Omega$, then $\|a^{-1}\Phi(a, c)\| < 1$ and $E_{A \vee B}(a - c)^{-1} = (a - \Phi(a, c))^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let $(a, c) \in \Omega$. Then $a - c$ is invertible, the inverse being $(1 - a^{-1}c)^{-1}a^{-1}$. Using Lemma 3.41 we have

$$E_{A \vee B}(1 - a^{-1}c)^{-1} \in \frac{1}{2} - i\mathbb{H}_+(M)$$

and hence

$$E_{A \vee B}(1 - a^{-1}c)^{-1} = (1 - x)^{-1}$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{D}(M)$. Moreover there is a holomorphic map $\Psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(M)$ such that $\Psi(a, c) = 1 - (E_{A \vee B}(1 - a^{-1}c)^{-1})^{-1}$.

Let $\Phi_1 : \Omega \rightarrow M$ be defined by $\Phi_1(a, c) = a\Psi(a, c)$. Clearly Φ_1 is holomorphic, $\|a^{-1}\Phi_1(a, c)\| < 1$ and

$$E_{A \vee B}(a - c)^{-1} = (E_{A \vee B}(1 - a^{-1}c)^{-1})a^{-1} = (1 - \Psi(a, c))^{-1}a^{-1} = (a - \Phi_1(a, c))^{-1}.$$

Thus the proof reduces to showing that $\Phi_1(a, c) \in B$.

The open set Ω is connected. Indeed, if $(a, c) \in \Omega$ then $(\lambda a, c) \in \Omega$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| \geq 1$. In particular there is a segment in Ω connecting (a, c) and (Na, c) where $N \geq 1$ is such that $\|(Na)^{-1}\| \leq (1 + \|c\|)^{-1}$. Then $(Na, tc + (1 - t)1) \in \Omega$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and we have a path from (a, c) to $(Na, 1)$. If $Na = u(N|a|)$ is the polar decomposition, then $N|a| \geq (1 + \varepsilon)1$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and the elements $(u((1 - t)N|a| + t(1 + \varepsilon)1), 1)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, are in Ω connecting $(Na, 1)$ and $((1 + \varepsilon)u, 1)$. Finally $u = \exp(ih)$ for some $h = h^* \in A$ and $((1 + \varepsilon)\exp(ih), 1) \in \Omega$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ continue our path to $((1 + \varepsilon)1, 1)$, etc.

Since Ω is connected, the set

$$\omega = \{(a, c) \in A \times C \mid \|a - 3i1\| < 1, \operatorname{Im} c \leq -\frac{1}{2}1, \|c\| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{H}_+(A) \times \mathbb{H}_-(C)$$

and $\omega \subset \Omega$ is a uniqueness set for holomorphic functions in Ω . Moreover, using Proposition 3.3 we have $\Phi(a, c) = F(a, -c) \in B$ where $(a, c) \in \omega$ and F is the function in Proposition 3.3.

3.6 Theorem. *Let $1 \in B$, $1 \in C$ be W^* -algebras in (M, τ) and let u be a unitary element in M . Assume C and $\{u, u^*\}$ are B -free in (M, E_B) . Then there is a holomorphic map*

$$G : \mathbb{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(B)$$

such that

$$E_{B[u, u^{-1}]}(u - c)^{-1} = (u - G(c))^{-1}$$

if $c \in \mathbb{D}(B)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 3.5 since $(u, c) \in \Omega$ if $c \in \mathbb{D}(C)$ and $\|u^{-1}\Phi(u, c)\| < 1 \Leftrightarrow \|\Phi(u, c)\| < 1$ and we take $G(c) = \Phi(u, c)$. $\square$

References

- [1] P.Biane, Processes with free increments, *Math. Z.* **227** (1998), 143–174.
- [2] E.Hille and R.S.Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*, vol. 31
American Math Society Colloqu. Publ., Rhode Island, 1957.
- [3] B.Sz.-Nagy and C.Foias, *Analyse Harmonique des Opérateurs de l’espace de Hilbert*,
Masson et Cie, Paris, 1967.
- [4] D.Voiculescu, D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information
measure in free probability theory, I. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **155** (1993), 71–92.
- [5] D.Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free
probability theory, VI: Liberation and mutual free information. *Adv. Math.* **146**
(1999), 101–106.
- [6] D.Voiculescu, The coalgebra of the free difference quotient and free probability.
International Math. Res. Notices No. 2 (2000), 79–106.
- [7] D.Voiculescu, Lectures on Free Probability Theory, in *Lectures on Probability Theory
and Statistics*, Saint-Flour XXVIII (1998) Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 1738, 279–349.
- [8] D.Voiculescu, K.Dykema and A.Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series
vol. I, American Math Society, Rhode Island, 1992.